IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SPIRAL CURRICULUM IN JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL PHYSICS

A Thesis Presented to the

Faculty of the Graduate School

College of Education

West Visayas State University

La Paz, Iloilo City

In Partial Fulfilment

of the Requirements for the Degree

Master of Arts in Education

(Physics)

by

Erwin D. Alimpuangon

July 2021

APPROVAL SHEET

A Thesis for the Degree

Master of Arts in Education

(Physics)

by

Erwin D. Alimpuangon

Approved by the Research Committee:

CHIVE G. GABASA, Ph.D., Chair

LARRY D. BUBAN Ph. D., Member

HERMAN M. LAGON, Ph.D., Outside Expert

VICENTE C. HANDA, Ph.D., Adviser

RICKY M. MAGNO, PH.D. Dean

July 2021

Iloilo City

Alimpuangon, Erwin D., "Implementation of the Spiral Curriculum in Junior High School Physics," Unpublished Master's Thesis, Master of Arts in Education (Physics), West Visayas State University, Iloilo City, July 2021.

Abstract

This study utilized mixed-methods research design which described the implementation of the spiral curriculum in Junior High School Physics and examined cases of the models of implementation as to how they are being practiced in selected public secondary schools in the Division of Iloilo with units of analysis, namely: (A) Content, (B) Teaching Pedagogy, (C) Assessment, (D) Teacher Support, and (E) Facilities. This study involved two phases: survey and case study. The participants in the Phase I of this study were the 102 Junior High School Physics teachers while 10 among the total participants were purposively selected as participants in the Phase II of this study. A validated researcher-made survey instrument was utilized in the Phase I of this study while interview and focus-group discussion (FGD) were employed in Phase II. The statistical tools used for the Phase I of this study were the mean, rank, standard deviation, frequency count, and percentage while thematic analysis was used for the Phase II of this study. Results revealed that in terms of Content, Physics content is being taught by single-grade level and multi-grade level Physics teachers. Physics learning competencies in the curriculum guide intended for a guarter were not fully tackled by all Physics teachers. Physics teachers agree that the Physics topics discussed in the previous grade levels are needed in teaching the present grade level content. Among the teachers, non-physics majors teaching Physics experience more difficulty in

Vİİİ

Iloilo City

teaching the subject. Physics teachers teaching in the spiral curriculum exhibit mastery of Physics topics but do not exhibit sufficient mastery in other Science areas. In terms of Teaching Pedagogy, Physics teachers utilize a variety of teaching strategies such as direct instruction, interactive instruction, indirect instruction, independent study, and experiential instruction and practices like grouping technique, contextualization, problem solving, questioning activity, and subject integration suited for the diverse characteristics and needs of the students. In terms of Assessment, Physics teachers employ a combination of traditional assessment such as pen-and-paper test and authentic type of assessment like performance tasks (projects, outputs, portfolios, journal, role playing) with the use of rubrics. In terms of Teacher Support, Physics teachers have attended limited trainings/seminars related to teaching in the spiral curriculum. Findings also show that collaboration is evident among Science teachers. In terms of Facilities, there is an inadequacy of Physics laboratory rooms and manuals in the schools in the Division of Iloilo. However, classroom facilities and teaching materials used as facilities in teaching Physics are apparent. The two models of implementation of the spiral curriculum in Junior High School Physics are the carousel-teacher scheme and single-teacher scheme. In the Carousel-teacher scheme model, teachers are teaching Physics in every guarter of all grade levels (grade 7 to 10) but have loads in other Science areas which means that Physics teachers are also teaching Biology, Chemistry, and Earth Science. In the Single-teacher scheme model, teachers teach all Science areas in the grade level they are assigned in. Moreover, teachers have positive and negative responses toward each of the models of implementation.

İХ

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Title Page	i
Approval Sheet	ii
Acknowledgment	iii
Abstract	vii
Table of Contents	x
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xiii
List of Appendices	xv
Chapter	
1 INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY	1
Background of the Study	2
Statement of the Problem	7
Theoretical and Conceptual Framework	7
Significance of the Study	10
Definition of Terms	12

Scope and Limitation of the Study 14

2	REVIEW OF RELATED LITRATURE	16
	Intended vs. Enacted Curriculum	16

	Spiral Curriculum: Its Nature and Significance	18
	Spiral Curriculum in Physics Education	21
	Summary	34
3	METHODOLOGY	36
	Research Design	36
	Methods	41
	Participants of the Study	41
	Instrumentation and Data Collection Tools	46
	Data Collection Procedure	49
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	55
	Descriptions of Spiral Curriculum Implementation	55
	Models of Implementation	107
5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	143
	Summary	143
	Conclusions	149
	Recommendations	151
	REFERENCES	154
	APPENDICES	166

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	The conceptual framework of Science Education	9
2	Mixed-methods design	36
3	The multiple-case design with multiple units of analysis	40
4	The thematic analysis model	52
5	Description of the implementation of the spiral curriculum in Junior High School Physics and its corresponding models	54
6	Difficult Physics Area to Teach as Classified by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	62
7	Less Difficult Physics Area to Teach as Classified by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	63

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Matrix of Junior High School Physics Content in the K-to-12 Basic Education Curriculum	23
2	Profile of the Junior High School Physics Teachers as Participants of the First Phase of the Study	43
3	Teaching profile of the Junior High School Physics Teachers as Participants of the Second Phase of the Study	44
4	Teaching Strategy Employed by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	46
5	Teaching Practices Applied by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in The Spiral Curriculum	46
6	Assessment Strategies as Agreed by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	47
7	Category of Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	57
8	Teaching Physics Content by Junior High School Physics Teachers in the Spiral Curriculum	61
9	Mastery of the Subject Matter of Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	66
10	Teaching Strategies Employed by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	69
11	Teaching Strategy and Set of Students in the Spiral Curriculum	70
12	Teaching Practices Applied by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in The Spiral Curriculum	72
13	Grouping Technique Employed by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	73

14	Contextualization Practices by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	74
15	Students' Problem Solving Set-up as Practiced by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	76
16	Type of Questions Asked by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	78
17	Subject Integration by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	80
18	Assessment Tools Used by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	84
19	Types of Assessment Used by Junior High School Physics Teachers in Assessing Students' Performance in the Spiral Curriculum	85
20	Assessment Strategies as Agreed by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	87
21	Kinds of Support Experienced by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in The Spiral Curriculum	93
22	Teaching-Learning Material as Facilities Used by Junior High School Physics Teachers Teaching in the Spiral Curriculum	100
23	Availability of School Facilities	106
24	Responses of the Participants in the Carousel-Teacher Scheme Model	110
25	Responses of the Participants in the Single-Teacher Scheme Model	126

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
А	Research Instrument	167
В	Interview Guide Questionnaire	170
С	Letter for the Research Instrument Validators	172
D	Letter for the Conduct of the Study	174
E	Letter to the Principal for Pilot Testing	176
F	Letter to the Participants for Pilot Testing	178
G	Letter to the Principal for Actual Data Gathering	180
н	Letter for the Actual Participants	182
I	Consent Form for the Research Participants	184

References

Abulencia, A. S. (2015). The unravelling of k-12 program as an education reform in the Philippines. *SIPATAHOENAN: South-East Asian Journal for Youth, Sports & Health Education, 1*(2), 229-240. Retrieved from

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283084339.

- Adeyemi, T.O. (2008). Teachers' teaching experience and students' learning outcomes in secondary schools in Ondo State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Educational Studies in Mathematics and Sciences, 3*(1). doi: 10.4314/ajesms.v3i1.38607.
- AGHAM. (2015, April 1). *Science, technology and the k-12 education program.* Retrieved from https://www.slideshare.net/mobile/AGHAM/science-technology-and-thek12-educationprogram
- Aina, K.J. (2013). Instructional materials and improvisation in physics class: Implications for teaching and learning. *IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME), 2*(5), 38-42. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263654380.

Bosse, M. & Torner, G. (2015, May). *Teacher identity as a theoretical framework for researching out-of-field teaching mathematics teachers.* Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/300897028

Boyatzis, R. E. (1998). *Transforming qualitative information: Thematic analysis and code development*. Sage Publications, Inc.

155

- Briones, C.B. (2018). Teachers' competency on the use of ICT in teaching physics in the junior high school. *4th International Research Conference on Higher Education, KnE Social Sciences*. 177–204. doi: 10.18502/kss.v3i6.2380.
- Butler, A.C., Marsh, E.J., Slavinsky, J.P., Baraniuk, R.G. (2014). Integrating cognitive science and technology improves learning in a STEM classroom. *Educational Psychology Review 26*, 331—340. doi: 10.007/s10648-014-9256-4.

Cabansag, MG. S. (2014). Impact statements on the k-12 science program in the enhanced basic education curriculum in provincial schools. *ResearchersWorld-Journal of Arts, Science & Commerce, 5*(2), 29-39. Retrieved from www.researchersworld.com/vol5/issue2/Paper_04.pdf

- Catindig, J. & Scheiter, B.J. (2018). Effectiveness of spiral approach in physics education. *Journal of Computational and Theoretical NanoScience, 24*(11), 8161-8164. doi: 10.1166/asl.2018.12515.
- Clark, S. (2010). *Jerome Bruner: Teaching, learning and the spiral curriculum*. Retrieved from https://sheldonclark.files.wordpress.com/2011/07/jerome-brunerteaching-learning-and-the-spiral-curriculum2.pdf
- Clouder, L.D. (2009). *Promotion of reflective learning, teaching, and assessment through curriculum design.* Retrieved from http://www.hsaparchive.org.uk/rp/ publication/occasionalpaper/occp10-pdf/view
- Coelho, C.S. & Moles, D.R. (2015). Student perceptions of a spiral curriculum. *European Journal of Dental Education, 20*(3), 161-166. doi: 10.1111/eje.12156

157

Curriculum. (2015). *In The Glossary of Education Reform by Great Schools Partnership*. Retrieved from https://www.edglossary.org/curriculum/ Curriculum Definition. (2016). In *Rhode Island Department of Education (RIDE)*. Retrieved from https://www.ride.ri.gov/InstructionAssessment/Curriculum/ CurriculumDefinition.aspx

Davis, E. G. (2007). A study of the effects of an experimental spiral physics curriculum taught to sixth grade girls and boys (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/36554766

De Asis, A.A. (2019). *Senior high school learners' modern biotechnology literacy: Inputs for the development of instructional materials* [Unpublished Dissertation]. West Visayas State University.

De Dios, A. C. (2012, April 19). First things first: A commentary on k+12. *The Philippine Star.* Retrieved from https://www.philstar.com/business/science-andenvironment/2012/04/19/798002/first-things-first-commentary-k12/amp

Department of Education (DepEd). (2016). *K to 12 science curriculum*. Retrieved from https://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Science-CG_withtagged-sci-equipment_revised.pdf

Department of Education (DepEd) Order No.31. (2012). *Policy guidelines on the implementation of grades 1 to 10 of the k to 12 basic education curriculum (BEC) effective school year 2012-2013.* Retrieved from https://www.deped.gov.ph/2012/04/17/do-31-s-2012.

Department of Education (DepEd) Order No.8. (2015). *Policy guidelines on classroom assessment for the k to 12 basic education program*. Retrieved from https://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/DO_s2015_08.pdf Department of Education (DepEd) Order No.43. (2013). *Implementing rules and regulations (IRR) of republic act no. 10533 otherwise known as the enhanced basic education act of 2013*. Retrieved from https://www.deped.gov.ph/2013/09/24/do-43-s-2013.

Dixson, D.D. & Worrell, F.C. (2016). Formative and summative assessment in the classroom. *Theory Into Practice*, 55(22), 153-159. doi: 10.1080/00405841.20161148989.

Erdem, A. (2019). A study on teachers' views on the use of technology to improve physics education in high schools. *Journal of Education and Training Studies,* 7(4), 142-153. doi: 10.11114/jets.v7i4.4019.

- Ferido, M. (2013, April 25). *The spiral progression approach in science*. Retrieved from http://www.ceap.org.ph/upload/download/20137/137150183_1.pdf
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education.* New York: McGraw-Hill.

Friesen, S. & Scott, D.S. (2013, June). Inquiry-based learning: A review of the research literature. Retrieved from https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Inquiry-Based-Learning%3A-A-Review-of-the-Research-Friesen

Scott/95a37bc82f8fa6180e2abfd9becbce5dffe58c68#citing-papers

- Gillies, R.M. (2003). The effects of cooperative learning on junior high school students during small group learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 14 (2004), 197–213. doi: 10.1016/S0959-4752(03)00068-9.
- Goldhaber, D. & Anthony, E. (2003, May). *Teacher quality and student achievement*. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED477271
- Hadji Abas, H. T. & Marasigan, A.P. (2020). Readiness of science laboratory facilities of the public junior high school in Lanao Del Sur, Philippines. *IOER International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 2(2), 12-20. Retrieved from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3606078
- Haeusler, C. (2013). Examining the curriculum and assessment framework of the Australian curriculum: Science. Retrieved from

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/260165258.

- Halim, A., Yusrizal, Mazlina, H., Melvina, & Zainaton. (2018). Questioning skill of science teacher from the students perspective in senior high school. *Journal of Physics Conference Series, 1088*(1), 012-109. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1088/1/012109
- Harden, R.M. (1999). What is a spiral curriculum?. *Medical Teacher, 21*(2), 141-143. doi: 10.1080/014215-99979752.
- Hathib K. (2004, April). *Enacted curriculum: Concept, features and factors.* Retrieved from https://www.slideshare.net/mehfilhathi/enacted-curriculum-concept-and-features

160

Hume, A. & Coll, R. (2010). Authentic student inquiry: The mismatch between the intended curriculum and the student-experienced curriculum. *Research in Science & Technological Education, 28*(1), 43-62. Retrieved from https://researchcommons.waikato.ac.nz/bitstream/handle/10289/3641/Hume%2 0Coll%202010.pdf?sequence=2&isAllowed=y

Junior High School. (2010). In *Webster's New World College Dictionary* (4th ed.). United States: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Kamamia, L.N., Ngugi, N.T., & Thinguri, R.W. (2014). *To establish the extent to which the subject mastery enhances quality teaching to student-teachers during teaching practice.* Retrieved from https://ijern.com/journal/July-2014/51.pdf

Kira, E., Komba, S., Katanabo, E., & Tilya, F. (2013). Teachers' questioning techniques in advanced level chemistry lessons: A Tanzanian perspective. *Australian Journal* of Teacher Education, 38(12). doi: 10.14221/ajte.2013v38n12.7

Kridel, C. (2010). Encyclopedia of Curriculum Studies. SAGE Publications, Inc.

- L, HM. & Alegre, E. M. (2019). The level of impact on spiral progression approach in mathematics to the academic performance of the grade 10 students. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 9*(4), 504-512. doi: 10.29322/IJSRP.9.04.2019.p8863.
- Landicho, CJ. (2020). Filipino engineering students' perceptions about teaching and motivations to pursue career. Asia Pacific Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, *8*(1), 1-8. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339165985.

161

Leedy, P. & Ormrod, J. (2001). *Practical research: Planning and design (7th ed.)*. Upper Saddle River, NJ and Thousand Oaks, CA: Merrill Prentice Hall and SAGE Publications.

Lia, M. (2016). Using an observation coaching checklist to provide feedback to teachers. *Journal of Catholic Education, 20*(1). doi: 10.15365/joce.2001152016.

Magno, C. (2011, October 19). *Analysis of the basic education of the Philippines: Implications for the k to 12 education program*. Retrieved from http://www.academia.edu/3814475

Mangali, G. R., Tongco, C., Aguinaldo, KP., & Calvadores, C. J. (2019). Stories of students toward spiral progression approach in science: A phenomenological study. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications* (IJMRAP), 2(2), 37-48. Retrieved from

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334760398

McGarvey, M. (2016, June 15). *Ever increasing circles – what the spiral curriculum can do for your students*. Retrieved from https://www.teachwire.net/news/everincreasing-circles-what-the-spiral-curriculum-can-do-for-your-students

Merriam-Webster. (n.d.). Implementation. In *Merriam-Webster.com dictionary*. Retrieved March 16, 2020, from https://www.merriamwebster.com/dictionary/implementation

Merriam-Webster. (n.d.). Physics. In Merriam-Webster.com dictionary.

Retrieved March 7, 2020, from https://www.merriamwebster.com/dictionary/physics

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook (2nd ed.)*. Sage Publications, Inc.

Mojares, J. (2013). Teaching strategies in English – the case of Batangas State University Malvar, Philippines. Retrieved from

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341993404

- Ndihokubwayo, K., Uwamahoro, J., & Ndayambaje, I. (2018). Use of improvised experiment materials to improve teacher training college students' achievements in physics, Rwanda. *African Journal of Educational Studies in Mathematics and Sciences, 14,* 63-77. doi: 10.4314/AJESMS.v14I0.
- Official Gazette. (2013). *The k to 12 basic education program.* Retrieved from https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/k-12/
- Olayinka, AR. B. (2016). Effects of instructional materials on secondary schools' students' academic achievement in social studies in Ekiti State, Nigeria. *World Journal of Education, 6*(1), 32-39. doi: 10.5430/wje.v6n1p32.
- Orbe, J.R., Espinosa, A.A., & Datukan, J.T. (2018). Teaching chemistry in a spiral progression approach: Lessons from science teachers in the Philippines. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 43*(4), 17-30. doi:

10.14221/ajte.2018v43n4.2

- Orleans, A. V. (2007). The state of secondary school physics education in the Philippines: Recent developments and remaining challenges for substantive improvements. *The Australian Educational Researcher*, *34*(1), 33-54. doi: 10.1007/BF03216849.
- Porter, A. & Smithson, J. (2001). *Defining, developing, and using curriculum indicators*. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/252368536
- Resurreccion, JA. & Adanza, J. (2015). Spiral progression approach in teaching science in selected private and public schools in Cavite. *Proceedings of the DLSU Research Congress, 3*(1), 1-12. doi: 10.17758/uruae.uh0516148.
- Rhode Island Department of Education (RIDE). (2014). *Providing high-quality written feedback to educators.* Retrieved from https://www.ride.ri.gov/Portals/0/Uploads /Documents/Teachers-and-Administrators-Excellent-Educators/Educator-Evaluation/Best-Practices-Resources/High Quality_Feedback_Calibration __Participant_Packet.docx
- Rivet, A.E. & Krajcik, J.S. (2007). Contextualizing instruction: Leveraging students' prior knowledge and experiences to foster understanding of middle school science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45(1), 79-100. doi: 10.1002/tea.20203.
- Saleh, S.E. (2015). Integrating Jerome Bruner's progressive ideas for developing ELT in Libya. Retrieved from https://www.bulletin.zu.edu.ly/issue_n17_1

164

Samala, H.DR. (2018). Spiral progression approach in teaching science: A case study. *4th International Research Conference on Higher Education, KnE Social Sciences*. 555–567. doi: 10.18502/kss.v3i6.2404.

SEI-DOST & UP NISMED. (2011). Science framework for Philippine basic education. Manila: SEI-DOST & UP NISMED.

Selcuk, G. S., Caliskan, S., & Erol, M. (2008). *The effects of problem solving instruction on physics achievement, problem solving performance and strategy use.* Retrieved from http://www.journal.lapen.org.mx

Shen, J., Lei, J., Chang, H.Y., & Namdar, B. (2013). Handbook of research on educational communication and technology (4th ed.). Chapter: Technologyenhanced, modeling-based instruction (TMBI) in science education. New York: Springer.

Shieh, R.S. (2012). The impact of technology-enabled active learning (TEAL) implementation on student learning and teachers' teaching in a high school context. *Computers & Education, 59*(2), 206-214. Retrieved from https://www.learntechlib.org/p/66728/

Slade, S. (2017, February 22). What do we mean by a quality education?. Retrieved from https://www.google.com.ph/amps/m.huffpost.com/us/entry/9284130/amp

Spiral Curriculum. (2013). In International Bureau of Education Glossary of Curriculum Technology. Retrieved from ww.ibe.unesco.org/en/glossary-curriculumterminology/s/spiral-curriculum

165

Teacher. (2010). In *Webster's New World College Dictionary* (4th ed.). United States: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Valin, E.C. & Janer, S.S. (2019). Spiral progression approach in teaching science.
International Journal of Engineering Science and Computing, 9(3), 19976-19984.
Retrieved from https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Spiral-Progression Approach-in-Teaching-Science-Valin-

Janer/cb01faeb63b68c75b07b1781741d7946a4cbc602.

- Yin, R. K. (2009). *Case study research design and methods (4th ed.)*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Yunzal Jr., A.N. & Casinillo, L.F. (2020). Effect of physics education technology (Phet) simulations: Evidence from STEM students' performance. *Journal of Educational Research and Evaluation*, 4(3), 221-226. doi: 10.23887/jere.v4i3.27450.