Г

Iloilo City

THE RED INK'S IMPACT: WRITTEN CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK AND ITS EFFECT ON STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS AND UPTAKE

A Thesis Presented to the
Faculty of the Graduate School
College of Education
West Visayas State University
La Paz, Iloilo City

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Arts in Education
(Language Teaching in English)

by

Jomel Belmonte Guintivano

April 2018

Γ

April 2018

Approval Sheet

A Thesis for the Degree

Master of Arts in Education

(Language Teaching in English)

by

Jomel Belmonte Guintivano

Approved by the Research Committee:	
MA. ASUNCION CHRISTINE V. DEQUILLA, Ph. D.	, Chairperson
ELVIRA L. ARELLANO, Ph. D., Member	
RAMIR C. TORRECES, Ed. D., Outside Expert	_ _
FINA FELISA L. ALCUDIA, Ph. D., Adviser	
	HILDA C. MONTAÑO, Ed. D., RGC

Г

Guintivano, Jomel B. "The Red Ink's Impact: Written Corrective Feedback and Its Effect on Students' Perceptions and Uptake". Unpublished Masters of Arts in Education (Language Teaching in English) Thesis, West Visayas State University, Iloilo City, April 2018.

Abstract

This descriptive-correlational study was aimed at determining the perceptions of students and their level of uptake as affected by the implementation of two written corrective feedback (WCF) styles. Moreover, this study explored the relationship existing between perceptions and uptake on WCF. The survey was conducted among 61 Grade 9 junior high school students in a specialized science curriculum of a provincial high school in Iloilo City. The quasi-experimental procedure was conducted during the months of June until October of 2017 encompassing the 1st and 2nd grading periods of the School Year 2016 – 2017. In this process, six written outputs of students were provided with two written corrective feedback styles – indirect corrective feedback and metalinguistic corrective feedback. The mean scores of the six outputs from this phase were made to constitute the students' uptake. A survey was conducted after the collection of the revised drafts with WCF. The collected data were analyzed statistically using frequency count, percentage, mean and standard deviation for descriptive analysis while Mann Whitney U and Spearman rho were used for inferential statistics. All inferential statistics were set at 0.05 level of significance for difference and for the correlation. Results showed that the participant – respondents affirm WCF provision as a practice in the language classroom. The survey revealed that students still prefer the

ſ

direct WCF. Uptake was very high as affected by the provision of WCF. The students' perceptions on WCF was generally positive across variables such as sex, section, and type of school graduated. The study added to the growing research undertakings as regards WCF and its pedagogical implications. The *red ink* both signifying WCF as a tool, and suggesting it as a practice by teachers, is considered a valued formative practice in the language classroom.

lloilo City

Table of Contents		
	Page	
Title Page	i	
Approval Sheet	ii	
Acknowledgment	iii	
Abstract	vi	
Table of Contents	viii	
List of Tables	хi	
List of Figures	xii	
List of Appendices	xiii	
Chapter		
1 INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY	1	
Background of the Study	2	
Theoretical Framework	4	
Research Paradigm	7	
Statement of the Problem	7	
Hypotheses	8	
Definition of Terms	9	
Delimitation of the Study	11	
Significance of the Study	12	
f		

lloilo City

Γ			1
	2	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	14
		Second Language (L2) Writing	15
		Theoretical Foundations on the Use of CF in L2 Instruction	18
		Errors and Their Treatment in L2 Writing	25
		Types of Written Corrective Feedback	36
		Direct Written Corrective Feedback	36
		Indirect Written Corrective Feedback	37
		Metalinguistic Corrective Feedback	38
		Debate in Written Corrective Feedback for L2	39
		WCF in the Philippine Context	42
		Summary	44
	3	RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	46
		Research Design	46
		Participants	47
		Sampling Technique	48
		Data Gathering Instruments	48
		Data Collection	50
		Data Processing and Analysis Procedure	52
	4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	54
		Descriptive Analysis	55
		Inferential Data Analysis	86
L			

	5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	93
		Summary of the Problems, Method and Findings	93
		Implications	102
		Conclusions	106
		Recommendations	107
References		110	
	Apr	endices	131

lloilo City

Γ

List of Tables

Table		Page
1	Distribution of Participants	48
2.a	Respondents' Perceptions as to the Source of Feedback	58
2.b	Respondents' Perceptions as to the Extent of Correction	61
2.c	Respondents' Perceptions as to Style of WCF Provision	66
2.d	Inferred Preference of Respondents as to the Style of WCF	67
2.e	Respondents' Perceptions as to the Need for Revisions and Other Concerns	70
2.f	Respondents' Perceptions as regards the Significance of Corrective Feedback	74
2. g	Respondents' Perceptions on the Affective Impact of WCF Provision	78
2.h	Respondents' Perceptions Relating to Ink Preference	80
2.i	Respondents' Perceptions on the Other Impact of WCF Provision	83
3	Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of Students' Uptake with WCF	86
4	Differences in the Students' Perceptions on WCF according to Sex, Section, and Type of School Graduated from	88
5	Differences in the Students' Uptake with WCF according to Sex, Section, and Type of School Graduated from	89
6	Relationship between Students' Perceptions on WCF and Uptake	91

Iloilo City

Γ .

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1	Paradigm of the Study	7
2	Summary of Schedule for WCF Implementation for the Participant-Respondents' Original Texts	50
3	Sample Student's Output Provided with an Indirect WCF	63
4	Sample Student's Output Provided with a Metalinquistic WCF	65

Iloilo City

List of Appendices

Appendix		Page
Α	Letter to the Validators	132
В	Letter to the Principal	134
С	Sample Instrument	136
D	Raw Data of Students' Uptake	142
F	Revised Outputs with WCF and Uptake Computation	145

Iloilo City

111

References

- Adams, R. (2003). L2 output, reformulation and noticing: implications for IL development. *Language Teaching Research* 7(3), 347-376.
- Alamis, M. (2010). Evaluating students' reactions and responses to teacher's written feedbacks. *Philippine ESL Journal*, *5*, 41-56.
- Al-Hejin, B. (2004). Attention and awareness: Evidence from cognitive and second language research. *Teachers College, Columbia University Working Papers in TESOL & Applied Linguistics 4*(1), 1-22.
- Amrhein, H. R., & Nassaji, H. (2010). Written Corrective Feedback: What do Students and Teachers Think is Right and Why?. *Canadian Journal of Applied Linguistics/Revue canadienne de linguistiqueappliquée*, *13* (2), 95-127.
- Archibald, A. (2001). Targeting L2 writing proficiencies: Instruction and areas of change in students' writing over time. *International Journal of English Studies, 1* (2), 153-174.
- Ashwell, T. (2000). Patterns of teacher response to student writing in a multiple-draft composition classroom: Is content feedback followed by form feedback the best method? *Journal of Second Language Writing, 9*, 227-257.
- Atkinson, D. & Ramanathan, V. (1995). Cultures of writing: An ethnographic comparison of L1 and L2 university writing/language programs. *TESOL Quarterly*, *29*, 539-568.

Iloilo City

- Balanga, R. (2016). Student beliefs towards written corrective feedback: The case of Filipino high school students. *I-manager's Journal on English Language Teaching,* 6(3).
- Bangert-Drowns, R. L., Kulik, C. C., Kulik, J. A., & Morgan, M. T. (1991). The instructional effect of feedback in test-like events. *Review of Educational Research*, *61*(2), 213-238.
- Bardovi-Harlig, K. (1995). A narrative perspective on the development of the tense/aspect system in second language acquisition. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*. 17 (2), 263-292.
- Bartholomae, D. (1980). Study of error. *College Composition and Communication, 31*, 253-269.
- Beare, S. (2001). Skilled writers' generating strategies in L1 and L2: an exploratory study. In M. Torrance, L. Waes, & D. Galbraith (Eds.), *Writing and cognition:* research and application (pp. 151 161). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Bell, J.S. (1995). The relationship between L1 and L2 literacy: Some complicating factors. *TESOL Quarterly*, *29* (4), *687-704*.
- Bellon, J.J., Bellon, E.C. & Blank, M.A. (1991) *Teaching from a research knowledge*base: A development and renewal process. Facsimile edition. Prentice Hall, New

 Jersey, USA.
- Bereiter, C. & Scardamalia, M. (1987). *The Psychology of written composition*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Iloilo City

Г

- Bialystok, E. (1998). Coming of age in applied linguistics. *Language Learning, 48, 497-518.*
- Bitchener, J., & Ferris, D. (2012). *Written corrective feedback in second language acquisition and writing.* New York, NY: Routledge
- Bitchener, J., & Knoch, U. (2008) The value of a focused approach to written corrective feedback. *Language Teaching Research*, *12*, *409-431*.
- Bitchener, J., & Knoch, U. (2009a). The relative effectiveness of different types of direct written corrective feedback. *System, 37, 322–329*.
- Bitchener, J., Young, S., & Cameron, D. (2005). The effect of different types of corrective feedback on ESL student writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing,* 14, 191-205.
- Blanton, L. (1999). Classroom instruction and language minority students: On teaching to "Smarter" readers and writers. In L. Harklau, K. Losey& M. Siegal (Eds.), *Generation 1.5 meets college composition (pp. 119-142)*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Brawdy, P. & Byra, M. (1994, April). *A comparison of two supervisory models in preservice teaching practicum*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA. (ERIC Document Reproduction Services No. ED 372065).

Iloilo City

Brice, C. (1995). ESL writers' reactions to teacher commentary: A case study. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 394 312). Brown, H.D. (2000). *Principles of language learning and teaching* (4th ed.). White Plains, NY: Longman.

- Bruffee, K.A. (1986). Social construction, language, and the authority of knowledge. A bibliographical essay. *College English, 48, 773-790.*
- Cai, G. (1999). Texts in contexts: Understanding Chinese Students' English compositions. In C. Cooper & L. Odell (Eds.), *Evaluating writing: The role of teachers' knowledge about text, learning and culture (pp. 279-297)*. Urbana, Ill: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics, 1*(1), 1-47
- Carson, J. (2001). Second language writing and second language acquisition. In T. Silva and P.Matsuda (Eds.), *On second language writing (pp. 191-200)*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. [-15-]
- Cathcart, R. L., & Olsen, J. E. W. B. (1976). Teachers' and students' preferences for error correction of classroom conversation errors. In J. F. Fanselow and R. H. Crymes (Eds.), *On TESOL 76: Selections based on teaching done at the 10th annual TESOL convention (pp. 41-53).* Washington: TESOL.
- Cazden, C. (1992). Performing expository texts in the foreign language classroom. In C. Kramsch & S. McConnell-Ginet (Eds.), *Text and context: Cross-disciplinary perspectives on language study (pp. 67-78)*. Lexington, MA: D.C. Heath and Company.

lloilo City

115

┙

- Chandler, J. (2003). The efficacy of various kinds of error feedback for improvement in the accuracy and fluency of I2 student writing. *Journal of Second Language*Writing, 12, 267-296.
- Clariana, R. B., &Koul, R. (2006). The effects of different forms of feedback on fuzzy and verbatim memory of science principles. *British Journal of Educational Psychology.* 76, 259-270.
- Cohen, A. (1987). Student processing of feedback on their compositions. In A. Wendon and J. Rubin (Eds.), *Learner strategies in language learning (pp. 57-69)*. UK: Prentice Hall International.
- Connor, U. & Kaplan, R. (Eds.), (1987). Writing across languages: Analysis of L2 text. USA: Addison-Wesley.
- Connor, U. (1996). *Contrastive rhetoric: Cross-cultural aspects of second-language writing*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Connor, U., & Johns AM. (1990). Coherence in writing: Research and pedagogical perspectives. Alexandria, VA: *Teaching of English to Speakers of Other Languages*.
- Cope, B. & Kalantzis, M. (Eds.) (1993). *The powers of literacy: A genred approach to teaching writing.* London: Falmer Press.
- Corpuz, V.A. (2011). Error correction in second language writing: Teachers' beliefs, practices, and students' preferences. A published master's thesis. Retrieved from eprints. edu.au, on June 25, 2015.

WEST VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY **COLLEGE OF EDUCATION**

GRADUATE SCHOOL

Iloilo City

- Creswell, J. W. (2013). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches. Sage. London.
- Cumming, A. (1989). Writing expertise and second language proficiency. Language Learning, 39, 81-141.
- Cumming, A. (1995). Fostering writing expertise in ESL composition instruction: Modeling and evaluation. In D. Belcher & G. Braine (Eds.), Academic writing in a second language (pp. 375-397). Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Co.
- De Beaugrander, R. (1980). *Text, discourse and process*. London: Longman.
- De Beaugrander, R. (1984). Text production: Towards a science of composition. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- DeKeyser, R.M. (1994). Implicit and explicit learning of second language grammar: A pilot study. TESOL Quarterly 28 (1), 188-194.
- Doughty, C. (2003). Second language instruction does make a difference: Evidence from an empirical study on SL revitalization. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, *13, 431-69.*
- Doughty, C., & Williams, J. (1998). Pedagogical choices in focus on form. In C. J. Doughty & J. Williams (Eds.), Focus on form in classroom second language acquisition (pp. 197-261). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dulay, H. C., & Burt, M. K. (1977). Remarks on the creativity in language acquisition. In M. Burt, H. Dulay and M. Finochchiaro (Eds.), Viewpoints on English as a second language (pp. 95-126). New York: Regents Publishing Company.

RADUATE SC

Iloilo City

Γ

- Ellis, R. (1994). *The study of second language acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. (2005). Principles of instructed language learning. System 33 (2), 209-224.
- Ellis, R., Basturkmen, H., &Loewen, S., (2002). Doing focus-on-form. *System 30 (4),* 419-432.
- Ellis, R., Sheen, Y., Murakami, M., & Takashima, H. (2008). The effects of focused and unfocused written corrective feedback in an English as a foreign language context. *System, 36, 353-371*.
- Evans, N. W., Hartshorn, K. J., McCollum, R. M., & Wolfersberger, M.
- (2010).Contextualizing
 - corrective feedback in L2 writing pedagogy. *Language Teaching Research, 14,* 445–463.
- Fairclough, N. (1989). Language and power. London: Longman.
- Farrokhi, F., & Sattarpour, S. (2012). The effects of direct written corrective feedback on improvement of grammatical accuracy of high-proficient L2 writer. *World Journal of Education*, *2*(2), 49-57.
- Fathman, A. & Whalley, E. (1990). Teacher response to student writing: Focus on form versus content. In B. Kroll (Ed), *Second language writing: Research insights for the classroom (pp. 178-190)*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Ferris, D. (1995). Student reactions to teacher response in multiple-draft composition classrooms. *TESOL Quarterly*, *29*, *33-53*.

118

WEST VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION GRADUATE SCHOOL

Iloilo City

Г

- Ferris, D. (1997). The influence of teacher commentary on student revision. *TESOL Quarterly*, *31*, *315-339*.
- Ferris, D. (1999). The case of grammar correction in L2 writing classes: a response to Truscott (1996). *Journal of Second Language Writing 8*(1), 1-11.
- Ferris, D. (2003). *Response to student writing: Implications for second language students.* Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Ferris, D. (2006). Does error feedback help student writers? New evidence on the short-and long-term effects of written error correction. In K. Hyland & F. Hyland (Eds.), *Feedback in second language writing: Contexts and issues (pp.81-104)*.

 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ferris, D. R., & Roberts, B. (2001). Error feedback in L2 writing classes: How explicit does it need to be? *Journal of Second Language Writing*, *10(3)*, *161-184*.
- Flower, L. (1994). *The construction of negotiated meaning: A social cognitive theory of writing.* Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Flower, L.S. & Hayes, J.R. (1981). A cognitive process theory of writing. *College composition and communication*, *32,365-387*.
- Gabinete, M. (2015). The contrastive study between written feedback given by language and non-language teachers on essay of students in the higher education.

 Retrieved February 5, 2018, from www.sanbeda-alabang.edu.ph
- Gass, S. M. (1997) *Input, interaction and the development of second languages.*Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Iloilo City

- Gass, S., & Selinker, L. (1994). *Second language acquisition: An introductory course* (3rd ed). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Goldstein, L. (2001). For Kyla: What does the research say about responding to ESL writers. In T. Silva and P. Matsuda (Eds.), *On second language writing (pp. 73-90)*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Grabe, W. & Kaplan, R. (1996). *Theory and practice of writing: An applied linguistic perspective*. New York: Longman.
- Han, Z-H. (2002). Rethinking the role of corrective feedback in communicative language teaching. *RELC Journal 33 (1), 1-34.*
- Harmer, J. (1991). The practice of English language teaching. London: Longman.
- Hartshorn, K. (2008). *The effects of manageable corrective feedback on ESL writing accuracy*. Master's thesis, Brigham Young University. Retrieved from

 http://contentdm.lib.byu.edu/ETD/image/etd2575.pdf
- Hattie, J., &Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77, 81–112.
- Hayes, J.R. (1996). A new framework for understanding cognition and affect in writing.

 In C.M. Levy & S.R. Ransdell (Eds), *The science of writing (pp 1-27)* Hillsdale,

 NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Hebert, M., Graham, S., & Harris, K. (2011, February). *Assessing struggling writers: A*comparison of writing performance and behaviors across four genres. Paper

 presented at the Writing Research Across Borders II Conference. Washington, D.

WEST VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

GRADUATE SCHOOL

Iloilo City

120

- Hedgcock, J. & Lefkowitz, N. (1996). Some input on input: Two analyses of student response to expert feedback in L2 writing. *Modern Language Journal, 80, 287-308.*
- Hendrickson, J. M. (1978). Error correction in foreign language teaching: Recent theory, research and practice. *Modern Language Journal 62 (8), 387-398*.
- Hsu, A.Y. (2014). *Enriching our understanding of written corrective feedback*.

 Retrieved June 18, 2016 from http://study.naer.edu.tw/
- Hull, G. (1985). Research on error and correction. In B. McClelland & T. Donovan (Eds.),

 *Perspectives on research and scholarship in composition (pp. 162-184). New

 York: The Modern Language Association of America.
- Hulstijn, J. H. & Schmidt, R. (1994). Guest editors' introduction (to special issue on consciousness in second language learning. *AILA Review 11, 5-10*.
- Hyland, F., & Hyland, K. (2002). Feedback on second language students' writing. *Language Teaching, 39, 83–101*.
- Hyland, K. (2003). Second language writing. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hyland, K., & Hyland, F. (2006). Feedback on second language students' writing. *Language Teaching 39*(2), 83-101.
- Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence. In J. Pride, & A. Holmes (Eds.), Sociolinguistics (pp. 269-293). New York: Penguin
- Ivanic, R. (1998). Writing and identity: The discoursal construction of identity in academic writing. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

L

121

WEST VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION GRADUATE SCHOOL

Iloilo City

James, C. (1980). *Contrastive analysis*. London: Longman.

Johns, A. (1997). Text, role and context. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Johns, AM. (1986). Coherence and academic writing: Some definitions and suggestions for teaching. *TESOL Quarterly 20,247-265*.
- Kennedy, G. (1973). Conditions for language learning. In J. W. Oller, and J. C. Richards (Eds.), Focus on the learner pragmatic perspectives for the language teacher (62-82). New York: Newbury House.
- Kepner, C. G. (1991). An experiment in the relationship of types of written feedback to the development of second-language writing skills. *Modern Language Journal*, *75*, *305-313*.
- Kiuhara, S. A., Graham, S., & Hawken, L. S. (2009). Teaching writing to high school students: A national survey. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *101* (1), 136.
- Klein, W. (1998). The contribution of second language acquisition research. *Language Learning*, 48, 527-549.
- Krashen S. D., & Selinger, H. W. (1975). The essential contributions of formal instructions in adult second language learning. *TESOL Quarterly*, *9*(2), 173-183
- Krashen, S. D. (1977). Some issues relating to the Monitor Model. In H. D. Brown, C. Yorio, and R. Crymes (Eds.), *On TESOL '77: Teaching and learning English as a second language: Trends in research and practice (pp. 144-158)*. Washington: TESOL.

WEST VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

GRADUATE SCHOOL

Iloilo City

- Krashen, S. D. (1984). *Writing, research, theory and applications.* Oxford: Pergamon Institute of English.
- Krashen, S. D. (1985). The input hypothesis: Issues and implications. London: Longman.
- Kutz, E., Groden, S., & Zamel, V. (1993). *The discovery of competence: Teaching and learning with diverse student writers.* Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook Publishers.
- Lalande, J. F. II (1982). Reducing composition errors: An experiment. *Modern Language Journal, 66, 140–149*.
- Lantolf, J. (Ed.) (2000). *Sociocultural theory and second language learning*. Oxford:

 Oxford University Press.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (1991). Teaching grammar. In M. Celce-Murcia (Ed.), *Teaching English as a second or foreign language (2nd ed.)* Boston: Heinle & Heinle Publishers.
- Lee, I. (1997). ESL Learners' performance in error correction in writing: Some implications for teaching. *System, 15, 465-477.*
- Leki, I. (1990). Coaching from the margins: Issues in written response. In B. Kroll (Ed.), Second language writing: Research insights for the classroom (pp. 57-67). New York: Cambridge University Press. [-17-]
- Leki, I. (1991). The preferences of ESL students for error correction in college-level writing classes. *Foreign Language Annals, 24, 203–218*.
- Leki, I. (1996). L2 composing: Strategies and perceptions. In B. Leeds (Ed), Writing in a second language. Insights from first and second language teaching and research (pp. 27-37). London: Longman.

WEST VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

GRADUATE SCHOOL

Iloilo City

123

L

- Leki, I., Cumming, A., & Silva, T. (2008). *A synthesis of research on second language writing in English.* London: Routledge.
- Liu, Y. (2008). The effects of error feedback in second language writing. *Arizona* working papers in SLA & Teaching, 15(1), 65-79.
- Long, M. H. (1985). A role for instruction in second language acquisition: Task-based language teaching. In K. Hyltenstam, & M. Pienemann (Eds.), *Modeling and assessing second language acquisition*. California: Multilingual Matters.
- Long, M. H. (1996). The role of linguistic environment in second language acquisition. In W. C. Ritchie & B. K. Bahtia (Eds.), *Handbook of second language acquisition* (pp. 413-468). NewYork: Academic Press.
- Long, M. H. (2000). Focus on form in task-based language teaching. In R. Lambert & E. Shohamy (Eds.), *Language policy and pedagogy. Essays in honor of A. Ronald Walton (pp. 179 192)*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Long, M. H., & Robinson, P. (1998). Focus on form: Theory, research, and practice. InC. Doughty &J. Williams (Eds.), Focus on form in second language acquisition(pp.15-41). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lyster, R. (2007). Learning and teaching languages through content: A counterbalanced approach. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Lyster, R., & Saito, K. (2010). Oral feedback in classroom SLA: A meta-analysis. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition 32* (2), 265-302.

Iloilo City



- Manchón, R. M. (in press). The language learning potential of writing in foreign language contexts. Lessons from research. In M. Reichelt & T. Cimasko (Eds.), Foreign language writing. Research insights. West Lafayette: Parlor Press.
- Manchon, R., Roca de Larios, J., & Murphy, L. (2000). An approximation to the study of backtracking in L2 writing. *Learning and Instruction*, *10*(1), 13 35.
- Mariko, I. and Mutema, F. (2012). Common errors in second language (L2) speakers: written texts. A case of first year first semester (L1:S1) Arts students at Midlands State University: An Error Analysis Approach. *Modern Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 4(4), 218-235.
- Matalene, C. (1985). Contrastive rhetoric: An American writing teacher in China. *College English*, *47*, *789-808*.
- Mohan, B. & Lo, W. (1985). Academic writing and Chinese students: Transfer and developmental factors, *TESOL Quarterly*, *19* (4), *515-534*.
- Montgomery, J. L., & Baker, W. (2007). Teacher-written feedback: Student perceptions, teacher self-assessment, and actual teacher performance. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, *16* (2), 82-99.
- Myles, J, (2002). Second Language Writing and Research: The Writing Process and Error Analysis in Student Texts. *TESL-EJ. Vol. 6.No.2*.
- Norris, J., & Ortega, L. (2000). Effectiveness of L2 instruction: A research synthesis and quantitative meta-analysis. *Language Learning 50 (3), 417-528*.

Iloilo City

Г

- Odlin, T. (1994). *Perspectives on pedagogical grammar (pp. 1-22)*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Omaggio Hadley, A. (1993). Teaching language in context. Boston: Heinle & Heinle.
- Pennycook, A. (1996). Borrowing others' words: Text, ownership, memory, and plagiarism. *TESOL Quarterly 30 (2), 201-230.*
- Polio, C., Fleck, C., &Leder, N. (1998). "If only I had more time": ESL learners' changes in linguistic accuracy on essay revisions. *Journal of Second Language Writing 7* (1), 43-68.
- Radecki, P. & Swales, J. (1988). ESL student reaction to written comments on their written work. *System, 16, 355-365*.
- Raimes, A. (1987). Language proficiency, writing ability and composing strategies: A study of ESL college student writers. *Language Learning*, *37*, *439-468*.
- Raimes, A. (1991). Out of the woods: Traditions in the teaching of writing. *TESOL Quarterly*, 25, 407-430.
- Raimes, A. (1998). Teaching writing. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, 18, 142-167.
- Révész, A. J. (2007). Focus on form in task-based language teaching: Recasts, task complexity, and L2 learning. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Columbia University.
- Rhalmi, M. (2011). *Audiolingual method*. Retrieved June 18, 2016 from www.myenglishpages.com

Iloilo City

- Robb, T., Ross, S. & Shortreed, I. (1986). Salience of feedback on error and its effect on EFL writing quality. *TESOL Quarterly*, *20*, *83-93*.
- Rodby, J. (1992). *Appropriating literacy: Writing and reading in English as a second language*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook Publishers.
- Russell, J., & Spada, N. (2006). The effectiveness of corrective feedback for the acquisition of L2 grammar. In J. Norris (Ed.), *Synthesizing Research on Language Learning and Teaching (pp. 133–163)*. Philadelphia, PA: John Benjamins.
- Sachs, R., & Polio, C. (2007). Learners' uses of two types of writen feedback on a I2 writing revision task. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 29, 67-100*.
- Schinke-Llano, L. (1995). Reenvisioning the second language classroom: A Vygotskian approach. In F. Eckman, D. Highland, P. Lee, J. Milcham& R. Weber (Eds.), Second language acquisition theory and pedagogy (pp. 21-28), Mahwah, NJ:

 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. [-19-]
- Schmidt, R. (1990). The role of consciousness in second language learning. *Applied Linguistics* 11 (2), 129-158.
- Schmidt, R. (2001). Attention. In P. Robinson (Ed.), *Cognition and second language instruction (pp.3-32)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schmidt, R., & Frota, S. (1986). Developing basic conversational ability in a foreign language: A case study of an adult learner of Portuguese. In R. Day (Ed.), *Talking to Learn (pp. 237–326)*. Rowley, MA: Newbury House.

WEST VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY **COLLEGE OF EDUCATION**

GRADUATE SCHOOL

Iloilo City

- Schwartz, B. D. (1993). On explicit and negative data effecting and affecting competence and linguistic behavior. Studies in Second Language Acquisition 15 (2), 147-163.
- Segalowitz, N. (1997). Individual differences in second language acquisition. In A. M. B. De Groot & J. F. Kroll (Eds.), Tutorials in bilingualism: Psycholinguistic perspectives (pp. 85-112). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Segalowitz, N. (2000). Automaticity and attentional skill in fluent performance. In H. Riggenbach (Ed.), Perspectives on fluency (pp. 200-219). Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Semke, H. (1984). The effects of the red pen. Foreign Language Annals, 17, 195-202.
- Shao, X. (2015). On Written Corrective Feedback in L2 Writing. *English Language Teaching, 8*(3), 155.
- Shaughnessy, M. (1977). *Errors and expectations*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sheen, Y. (2007). The effect of focused written feedback and language aptitude on ESL learners' acquisition of articles. TESOL Quarterly, 41, 255-284.
- Sheen, Y. (2010a). The role of oral and written corrective feedback in SLA. Studies in Second Language Acquisition 32 (2), 169-179.
- Sheppard, K. (1992). Two feedback types: Do they make a difference? RELC Journal, 23, 103-110.
- Silva, T. 1993. Toward and Understanding of the Distinct Nature of L2 Writing. TESOL Quarterly, 27(4): 657-677.

128

WEST VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF EDUCATION GRADUATE SCHOOL

Iloilo City

Γ

- Skehan, P. (1998). *A cognitive approach to language learning*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Skehan, P., & Foster, P. (2001). Cognition and tasks. In P. Robinson (Ed.), *Cognition*and second language instruction (pp. 183-205). Cambridge: Cambridge

 University Press.
- Slavin, R.E. (2003). *Educational Psychology: Theory and Practice* (7th ed). Boston: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Sternglass, M. (1997). *Time to know them: A longitudinal study of writing and learning*at the college level. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Svalberg, A. M-L. (2007). Language awareness and language learning. *Language Teaching 40 (4), 287-308.*
- Swain, M. (1985). Communicative competence: Some roles of comprehensible input and comprehensible output in its development. In S. M. Gass & C. G. Madden (Eds.), *Input in second language acquisition (pp. 235-253)*. Rowley, MA: Newbury House.
- Swain, M. (1991). French immersion and its offshoots: Getting two for one. In B. Freed (Ed.), *Foreign language acquisition: Research and the classroom (pp. 91-103)*. Lexington, MA: Heath
- Swain, M. (1995). *Collaborative Dialogue: Its Contribution to Second Language Learning.* Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the American Association for Applied Linguistics, Long Beach, CA.

L

Iloilo City

- Swain, M. (1995). Three functions of output in second language learning. In G. Cook & B. Seidlhofer (Eds.), *Principle and practice in applied linguistics: Studies in honor of H. G. Widdowson (pp.125-144).* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Swales, J.M. (1990). *Genre analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Truscott, J. (1996). The case against grammar correction in L2 writing classes. *Language Learning 46* (2), 327-369.
- Truscott, J. (1999). The case for "the case for grammar correction in L2 writing classes":

 A response to Ferris. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 8, 111–22.
- Vokic, G. (2008). Feedback in L2 writing: The students' perspective. *Porta Linguarum:* revistainternacional de didáctica de las lenguasextranjeras, (9), 139-156.
- Weissberg, B. (2000). Developmental relationships in the acquisition of English syntax: writing vs. speech. *Learning and instruction*, *10(1)*, *37-55*.
- Wells, G. (2000). Dialogic inquiry in education: Building on the legacy of Vygotsky. In C. Lee & P. Smagorinsky (Eds.), *Vygotskian perspectives on literary research (pp. 51-85)*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- White, E. (1994). *Teaching and assessing writing* .(2nd ed.) San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers
- Wilcox, K. C., Yagelski, R., & Yu, F. (2013). The nature of error in adolescent writing.

 *Reading & Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal, 27(6). Retrieved from http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11145-013-9492-x#page-1

Iloilo City

Г

Williams, J. (1989). Preparing to teach writing. California: Wadsworth Publishing Co.

Wolfersberger, M. (2003). L1 to L2 writing process and strategy transfer: A look at lower proficiency writers. *TESL-EJ*, *7*(2), *1-12*.

Zamel, V. (1987). Recent research on writing pedagogy. TESOL Quarterly, 21, 697-715.

Zamel, V. (1998). Strangers in academia: The experiences of faculty and ESL students across the curriculum. In V. Zamel & R. Spack (Eds.), *Negotiating academic literacies: Teaching and learning across languages and cultures (pp. 249-264)*.

Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Zimmerman, R. (200). L2 writing: Subprocesses, a model of formulating and empirical findings. *Learning and instruction*, *10* (1), *73-99*.

J